

United States District Court

Eastern District of Missouri

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

ORDER OF DETENTION

☒ PENDING TRIAL

THOMAS CRAIG

Case Number: 4:02CR469 CAS

Defendant

In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. §3142(f) a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.

Part 1 - Findings of Fact

- ☐ (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. §3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a (federal offense) (state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is
- ☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. §3156(a)(4).
- ☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.
- ☐ an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in _____
- ☐ a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. §3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.
- ☐ (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.
- ☐ (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction) (release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding (1).
- ☐ (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.

Alternative Findings (A)

- ☐ (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense
- ☐ for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in _____
- ☐ under 18 U.S.C. §924(c).
- ☐ (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.

Alternative Findings (B)

- ☐ (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.
- ☒ (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.

The Report of the Pretrial Services Office submitted to the Court on May 11, 2012 is incorporated by reference as if fully set out herein.

Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention

I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by

☒ a preponderance of the evidence that ☒ clear and convincing evidence that

Defendant is on supervised release after serving a seven year term of imprisonment for Felon in Possession of a Firearm. While on supervision, Defendant was arrested for Driving While Intoxicated. In addition, he was discharged unsuccessfully from an inpatient treatment program. He has an extensive criminal history with convictions dating back to 1986. He also has past arrests for drug trafficking, unlawful possession of a concealed firearm, armed criminal action and assault first degree.

Defendant's disrespect for conditions of release show that there are no conditions or combination of conditions that will reasonably assure his appearance at his final supervised release revocation hearing and the safety of the community.

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Dated: May 16, 2012

/s/Nannette A.Baker

Signature of Judicial Officer

United States Magistrate Judge

Name and Title of Judicial Officer

DEFENDANT: THOMAS CRAIG

CASE NUMBER: 4:02CR469 CAS

Continued